William F. Adler Executive Director Federal Regulatory Relations 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-6435

July 13, 1992



RECEIVED

JUL 1 3 199?

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

ORIGINAL FILE

Donna R. Searcy Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Man F. Aller

Dear Ms Searcy:

Re: CC Docket No. 92-105 - The Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements

On behalf of Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell, please find enclosed an original and six copies of its "Reply Comments" in the above proceeding.

Please stamp and return the provided copy to confirm your receipt. Please contact me should you have any questions or require additional information concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd_

List A B C D E

RECEIVED

JUL 1 3 1992

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)

The Use of N11 Codes and Other) CC Docket No. 92-105

Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements)

REPLY COMMENTS OF PACIFIC BELL AND NEVADA BELL

Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell ("the Pacific Companies")
hereby file their reply comments in the above-captioned
proceeding. The Pacific Companies continue to support the use of
Nll codes for public convenience and necessity, and oppose
assignment to individual parties.

N11 dialing should not be the first choice if the Commission determines that some form of alternative dialing is necessary. 7 or 10 digit dialing serves the purposes of millions of customers. It is recognizable, uniform, and available to any entity or individual. More importantly, the switches in the public switched telephone network are all equipped to handle the 7 or 10 digit dialing pattern. As shown in the comments, the same is not true for 3 digit N11 dialing. 1

See, e.g., USTA Comments, pp. 16-18.

No party, including Cox Enterprises, has said why abbreviated dialing is necessary given the current availability of 7 or 10 digit numbers. Cox states that, "No comparable alternative dialing arrangement is available at this time, let alone any arrangement that would permit so many uses nationwide." However, Cox ignores the North American Numbering Plan which provides 7 and 10 digit numbers for hundreds of uses nationwide, is adaptable to new technologies, and has already contributed substantial numbering resources to the information services market. Cox's argument seems to be that because a few Nll codes are not currently in use, there is no reason why it should be precluded from using these codes. 3

Cox conveniently ignores the substantial detriments of N11 dialing raised by many commenters, instead blithely stating, "Consequently, the benefits of local assignment of N11 codes will come at very little cost." However, many commenters recognized the substantial costs involved in N11 assignment, such as how to allocate an extremely scarce resource, how to reclaim a code if necessary, and the customer confusion that could result. 5

² Cox Comments, p. 4.

 $^{^3}$ Cox Comments, p. 2.

⁴ Cox Comments, p. 5.

⁵ See, e.g., Comments of Ameritech, Information Technology Association of America, Sprint, and Rochester Telephone.

If the Commission determines that N11 codes should be available for assignment to competitive service providers, it should restrict the assignment of N11 codes to those entities who will provide a gateway type service. Using N11 as a gateway would allow subscribers to reach many providers over a single N11 code. Further, the FCC could accomplish some standardization with respect to the types of services allowed over a particular N11 code.

Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee ("Ad Hoc") suggested that another method of allowing connection for many providers would be through presubscription. Ad Hoc does not suggest that presubscription would be proper for "information type services" but does believe it would be appropriate for voice mail services. Ad Hoc suggests that presubscription could work just like interexchange carrier presubscription. The Pacific Companies are vigorously opposed to any form of presubscription due to the costs and administrative burden involved. Many millions of dollars were spent in providing presubscription for interexchange carriers. Even now,

Ad Hoc Comments, p. 9-11.

administration costs are \$1 million a year to handle continuing changes and growth in the network. Thus, the Commission should not adopt Ad Hoc's proposal for presubscription.

In conclusion, the issues and problems raised by commenters in allocating a very scarce resource among potentially thousands of entities who may find it attractive, and the competitive inequities that result cannot easily be solved. The Pacific Companies believe that the best alternatives exist in the current 7 or 10 digit dialing plan. If the Commission is going to allow assignment of Nll codes, it should carefully consider a gateway-type service in order to accommodate many providers over a single Nll code. The Pacific Companies are willing to examine the possibility of providing such a service.

Respectfully submitted,

PACIFIC BELL NEVADA BELL

JAMES E. TUTHILL NANCY C. WOOLF

> 140 New Montgomery St., Rm. 1523 San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 542-7657

JAMES L. WURTZ

1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-6472

Their Attorneys

Date: July 13, 1992

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Alex Kositsky, certify that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, State of California and over eighteen years of age.

My business address is 140 New Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

On July 13, 1992, I served the attached "Reply Comments of Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell" by placing true copies thereof in envelopes addressed to the parties in the attached list, which envelopes, with postage thereon fully prepaid, I then sealed and deposited in a mailbox regularly maintained by the United States Government in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

PACIFIC BELL 140 New Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94105

By: Alex Kositsky

SERVICE LIST CC DOCKET NO. 92-105 FOR N11 CODES

Stephen R. Bell BT NORTH AMERICA INC. Squire, Sanders & Dempsey 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. P. O. Box 407 Washington, D.C. 20044

Michael S. Slomin BELL COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, INC. 290 West Mount Pleasant Avenue Livingston, New Jersey 07039

Lawrence E. Sarjeant U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Thompson T. Rawls II
BELLSOUTH CORPORATION AND
BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
1155 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 1800
Atlanta, Georgia 30367-6000

Joseph P. Markoski
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P. O. Box 407
Washington, D.C. 20044

Carol Schultz MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20006

Joan M. Griffin GTE SERVICE CORPORATION 1850 M Street NW Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Francine J. Berry AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY 295 North Maple Avenue Room 3244J1 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920-1002 R. Michael Senkowski
MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS
TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
Wiley Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Andrew D. Lipman
METROPOLITAN FIBER SYSTEMS, INC.
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20007

Carol F. Sulkes CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY Vice President - Regulatory Policy 8745 Higgins Road Chicago, Illinois 60631

Paul J. Berman ANCHORAGE TELEPHONE UTILITY 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. P. O. Box 7566 Washington, D.C. 20044

Nancy Massin ANCHORAGE TELEPHONE UTILITY 600 Telephone Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99503-6091

Richard E. Wiley NEWSPAPER ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Jay C. Keithley
SPRINT CORPORATION
1850 M St., N.W., Suite 1110
Washington, D.C. 20036

Jacqueline Nethersole THE NYNEX TELEPHONE COMPANIES 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605

David Cosson NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION 2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 Charles H. Kennedy
BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE COMPANIES
1710 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Ronald L. Lehr
ALTERNATIVE WEEKLY NEWPAPERS
NEW TIMES, INC. SASQUATCH PUBLICHING
CITY PAGES AND TUCSON WEEKLY
934 S. Gilpin Street
Denver, CO 80209-4521

Robert F. Aldrich
THE AMERICAN PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS
COUNCIL
Keck, Mahin & Cate
1201 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3919

Linda D. Hershman
THE SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND
TELEPHONE COMPANY
Vice President-External Affairs
227 Church St., 14th Floor
New Haven, Connecticut 06510

Daniel S. Goldberg
PUERTO RICO TELEPHONE AUTHORITY
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P. O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044

Angela Burnett
Assistant General Counsel
INFORMATION INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION
555 New Jersey Avenue, NW
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20001

Josephine S. Trubek General Counsel ROCHESTER TELEPHONE CORPORATION 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, New York 14646

Roy L. Kaufman General Partner and Agent for DATATREX 1119 12th Street NW Washington, D.C. 20005-4632 E. J. Exton
Chairman
CANADIAN STEERING COMMITTEE ON
NUMBERING
410 Laurier Avenue West
P. O. Box 2410, Station D
Ottawa, Ontario KIP6H5

John Paul Walters, Jr. SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY 1010 Pine Street, Room 2114 St. Louis, Missouri 63101

Larry A. Peck
AMERITECH OPERATING COMPANIES
2000 W. Ameritech Center Drive, Room 4H82
Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60196-1025

James S. Blaszak AD HOC TELECOMMUNICATIONS USERS COMMITTEE Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street NW, East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005

Martin T. McCue Vice President and General Counsel U.S. TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION 900 19th St., NW Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-2105

Werner K. Hartenberger COX ENTERPRISES, INC. Dow, Lohnes & Albertson Suite 500 1255 23rd Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

George S. Kois LO/AD COMMUNICATIONS 200 South Los Robles Avenue Suite 250 Pasadena, CA 91102